## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

	Applicant's or agent's file reference PC-21013981	FOR FURTHER see Form Po	CT/ISA/220 · plicable, item 5 below.
	International application No.	International filing date (day month year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
	PCT/SE 2004/001049	30 June 2004	4 July 2003
	Applicant -		
	Affibody AB et al		
	This international search report has applicant according to Article 18. A	been prepared by this International Searchin copy is being transmitted to the Internation	ng Authority and is transmitted to the all Bureau.
٠.	This international search report cons	ists of a total of 6 sheets.	•
·	It is also accompanied by	y a copy of each prior art document cited in	1 this report.
93	1. Basis of the report		
	m are impleaded in which it was	ne international search was carried out on the filed, unless otherwise indicated under this	item.
	- Turmaned to this Au	arch was carried out on the basis of a trans thority (Rule 23.1(b)).	• •
	b. With regard to any nucleon No. I.	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in	n the international application, see Box
	2. Certain claims were found	d unsearchable (see Box No. II)	
	3. Unity of invention is lack	ing (see Box No. III)	
i	4. With regard to the title,		·
	the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.	· · ·
	the text has been establish	ned by this Authority to read as follows:	
زر			
	Polypeptides havin	g binding affinity for HER2.	·
		·	
ا کونیا			
			:
•	5. With regard to the abstract,		
1	the text is approved as su		
	the text has been establish applicant may, within one comments to this Authorit	ed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Auth month from the date of mailing of this inte y.	ority as it appears in Box No. IV. The mational search report, submit
	6. With regard to the drawings,		
	a. the figure of the drawings to be	published with the abstract is Figure No.	20
	as suggested by the a	•	
1	•	thority, because the applicant failed to sugg	
·· [.		thority, because this figure better character	izes the invention.
. [	o none of the figures is to be	published with the abstract.	
F	orm PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (January 200-	4)	

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/SE 2004/001049

		_	Nucleotide ar											
1.	W in	ith req ventio	gard to any nucleo	otide and/o al séarch w	or amino acio vas carried o	d sequence out on the l	disclosed	in the ir	nternation	al applicati	on and n	ecessary	to the cla	ime
			of material								•			
		X	a sequence listi	ng									•	
			table(s) related	_	uence listing	ţ								
	h	form	at of material									•		
	0.		in written forms											
										•				
		$\boxtimes$	in computer rea	dable form	n.			٠,						
	•	time	of filing/furnishin	.~	•	• /	•					•		
	٠.		contained in the	_			,					•		
,														
			filed together w											
			furnished subsec	quently to	this Authori	ty for the p	urposes o	f search						
2.	_			•									•	
		or ap	furnished, the recoplication as filed	or does no	ements that it go beyond	the information the application	ation in the	e subseq led, as ap	ppropriate	lditional co , were furr	pies is io ished.	lentical t	o that in t	he
3.	Ad	dition	al comments:				•	•	,		•			
													•	
														٠
	•										•			
		•	•		•						•			•
													•	
					•									
•														
					•					•		•		
			•				٠							
	•													
							•							
			• •											
			•						·n	.1			•	
									•	•				
												:	•	
					•									
														•
			-		, .	•							•	
			•							•				

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/SE 2004/001049

Claims Nos: 47, 49 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  see next page  Claims Nos: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:  Claims Nos: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1.	This inte	mational search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  see next page  2.	1.	Claims Nos : 47 - 49
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically.    Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).   Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)   This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:    As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.   As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.   As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this numerical search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:    As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims, it is covered by claims Nos.:    No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims, it is covered by claims Nos.:	ا ا	
Claims Nos.:		see next page
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:  Claims Nos.:  because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1.		
Claims Nos.:		
a. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment o any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	2.	Claims Nos.:
Claims Nos.:		because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1.		extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1.	•	
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1.		
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1.	.3.	Claims Nos :
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1.	· ب	
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1.	Box No.	III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment o any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	This Inter	ruational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment o any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest		g and the state of
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment o any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest		
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment o any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment o any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment o any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest		·
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment o any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest		
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment o any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest		
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment o any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	1 []	As all required additional course food ware timely noid by the applicant this internal in the second state of the second state
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Ц	claims.
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of
only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		any additional fee.
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	3.	
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		only those claims for which rees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
via applicant o protest	4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
via applicant o protest	٠	
in the second se		
where the state of the approximation of the state		·
	Remark a	In Protest

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet (2)) (January 2004) .

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

International application No.
PCT/SE 2004/001049

Claims 47,49 relate to methods of treatment of the human or animal body by surgery or by therapy/diagnostic methods practised on the human or animal body/Rule. 39.1(iv). Nevertheless, a search has been executed for these claims. The search has been based on the alleged effects of the compounds/compositions.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (extra sheet) (January 2004)

			•
priorit stand of be	У	Of C	
nt be			

			PCT/SE 2004	1/001049 ·						
A. CLASS	A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER									
IPC7: C	IPC7: C07K 14/31, A61P 35/00 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC									
B. FIELD	B. FIELDS SEARCHED									
Minimum do	Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)									
<del></del>	IPC7: C07K, A61P									
•	Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched									
SE,DK,F	I,NO classes as above									
Electronic da	ta base consulted during the international search (na	ne of data base and, when	re practicable, searc	h terms used)						
EPO-INTI REGISTR	ERNAL, WPI-DATA, PAJ, BIOSIS, ME Y, EBI	EDLINE, CHEM ABS	S DATA, EMBA	SE,						
C. DOCUM	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT									
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where a	ppropriate, of the relev	ant passages	Relevant to claim No.						
Х	WO 0208263 A2 (GOT-A-GENE AB), (31.01.2002), claim 18&25	31 January 2002	. <i>J</i>	1-57						
A	WO 0063243 A1 (PHARMACIA & UPJO 26 October 2000 (26.10.2000	•	1-57							
A	NATURE BIOTECHNOLOGY, volume 15 Karin Nord et al: "Binding combinatorial libraries of bacterial receptor domain",	an alpha-helica	ed from 1	1-57						
A	WO 0101748 A2 (GENENTECH, INC.) (11.01.2001)	, 11 January 20	01 /	1-57						
-	·									
<u> </u>	documents are listed in the continuation of Bo	x C. X See pat	ent family annex.							
"A" document	tegories of cited documents:  defining the general state of the art which is not considered articular relevance	case and not in co	Onliet with the annlie	national filing date or priority ation but cited to understand						
"E" earlier app filing date	plication or patent but published on or after the international	"X" document of parts	neory underlying the incular relevance: the co	simed invention cannot be						
cried to ext	which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is tablish the publication date of another citation or other	step when the doc	rument is taken alone	ed to involve an inventive						
"O" document i	son (as specified) referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other	"Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination								
"P" document priority	"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family									
Date of the a	ctual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of th	e international se	arch report						
20 Octobe	er 2004	07-97-	2084							
	ailing address of the ISA/	Authorized officer								
Swedish Par Box 5055, S-	tent Office -102 42 STOCKHOLM	Terese Perssor								
	. +46 8 666 02 86		6 8 782 25 00							

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001049

	Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No		
	· A	WO 0023580 A1 (AFFIBODY TECHNOLOGY SWEDEN AB ET AL), 27 April 2000 (27.04.2000)	21-23 1-57		
	P,X	Protein Engineering, Design & Selection, vol. 17, no. 5, M. Wikman et al: "Selection and characterization of HER2/neu-binding affibody ligands, pages 455-462			
)					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	;		
			,		
			• .		
<del>!</del>					
			•		

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT Information on patent family members

03/09/2004

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001049

			•	•			
<b>WO</b>	0208263	A2	31/01/2002	AU BR CA CN CZ EP GB IL JP NO NZ US	1443243 20030152 1301613 0017720 153879 2004504062 20030239	A A T A A D D T A A	05/02/2002 01/07/2003 31/01/2002 17/09/2003 14/05/2003 16/04/2003 00/00/0000 00/00/0000 12/02/2004 18/03/2003 30/07/2004 08/07/2004
WO	0063243	A1	26/10/2000	AU AU EP JP SE US	1179014 2002542259	A A T D	02/11/2000 14/03/2000 13/02/2002 10/12/2002 00/00/0000 05/08/2003
WO :	0101748	A2	11/01/2001	AU CA EP IL JP US		A A D T	22/01/2001 11/01/2001 27/03/2002 00/00/0000 28/01/2003 11/09/2003
WO	0023580	A1	27/04/2000	AU AU CA EP GB JP NO ZA	773368 6353299 2347960 1123389 9823071 2002527107 20011969 200103252	B A A A D T A A	20/05/2004 08/05/2000 27/04/2000 16/08/2001 00/00/0000 27/08/2002 19/06/2001 22/07/2002